



Mortality versus morbidity Issues surrounding term perinatal mortality risk reduction

Lawrence Impey FRCOG Consultant in Obstetrics and Fetal Medicine Oxford, UK



This is not about preterm birth







What can we do to prevent mortality?

Advice on lifestyle eg smoking Aspirin Aspirin and fragmin Progesterone, +/- cerclage Diet/ metformin/ insulin Treatment for maternal illness Fancy fetal medicine things



By expediting birth

All the scans, tests for cholestasis etc are to find the babies we think we should deliver

Delivery is our major mechanism for stillbirth/ perinatal mortality prevention

The question is who, and when (and sometimes how)



Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists

THE LANCET

Online First Current Issue All Issues Special Issues Multimedia - Information for Authors

< Previous Article

Volume 385, No. 9987, p2600-2605, 27 June 2015

All Content

Next Article >

Search Advanced Search

Articles

Induction of labour versus expectant management for largefor-date fetuses: a randomised controlled trial

Prof Michel Boulvain, MD 🖼 🖂, Prof Marie-Victoire Senat, MD, Prof Franck Perrotin, MD, Norbert Winer, MD, Gael Beucher, MD, Prof Damien Subtil, MD, Prof Florence Bretelle, MD, Elie Azria, MD, Dominique Hejaiej, MD, Françoise Vendittelli, MD, Marianne Capelle, MD, Prof Bruno Langer, MD, Richard Matis, MD, Laure Connan, MD, Philippe Gillard, MD, Christine Kirkpatrick, MD, Gilles Ceysens, MD, Gilles Faron, MD, Prof Olivier Irion, MD, Prof Patrick Rozenberg, MD for the Groupe de Recherche en Obstétrique et Gynécologie (GROG)

Published: 08 April 2015 Altmetric 64

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61904-8 [CrossMark

🎊 f 💆 🖻 🛨



February 2013

BMJ 2012;344:e2838 doi: 10.1136/bmj.e2838 (Published 10 May 2012)

Induction of Labour at Term in

Older Mothers

Scientific Impact Paper No. 34

Page 1 of 13

RESEARCH

Outcomes of elective induction of labour compared with expectant management: population based study

CODEN ACCESS

Sarah J Stock *clinical lecturer and subspecialty trainee in maternal fetal medicine*¹, Evelyn Ferguson *consultant obstetrician*², Andrew Duffy *information analyst*³, Ian Ford *professor of biostatistics*⁴, James Chalmers *consultant in public health medicine*³, Jane E Norman *professor of maternal and fetal health*¹

¹Tommy's Centre for Maternal and Fetal Health, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, University of Edinburgh, Queen's Medical Research Institute, Edinburgh EH16 4SA, UK; ²NHS Lanarkshire, Wishaw General Hospital, Wishaw, UK; ³Information Services Division, NHS National Services Scotland, Edinburgh; ⁴University of Glasgow Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, Glasgow, UK



*do not attempt until past your due date, please consult with your provider before attempting

Induction of labour

Inductions have increased by 10% in 10 years

% of deliveries by method of onset from 2007-08 to 2017-18





Induction prevents stillbirth

Cochr	ane Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.	n Q
Our evidence Abc	ut us Join Cochrane News and jobs	Cochrane Library
	Induction of labour in women with normal pregnancie weeks	es at or beyond 37
Published: 27 August 2020	Does a policy of inducing labour at or beyond 37 weeks' gestation reduce risks for babies and their mothers when compared with a policy of waiting until a later gestational age, or until there is an indication for induction of labour?	Am score 97 Who is talking about this article?
Authors: Middleton P, Shepherd E, Morris J, Crowther CA, Gomersall JC	This review was originally published in 2006 and subsequently updated in 2012 and 2018.	Video: Systematic reviews explained
Primary Review Group:	What is the issue?	How our health

Study or Subgroup	Induction		Expectant		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	pht M-H, Fixed, 95% CI M-H	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Augensen 1987	0	214	0	195		Not estimable	•
Bergsjo 1989	0	94	0	94		Not estimable	•
Chanrachkul 2003	0	124	0	125		Not estimable	•
Cole 1975	0	111	1	117	7.3%	0.35 [0.01 , 8.53	
Dyson 1987	0	152	0	150		Not estimable	•
Egarter 1989	0	180	1	165	7.8%	0.31 [0.01 , 7.45	
Gelisen 2005	0	300	1	300	7.5%	0.33 [0.01 , 8.15	
Grobman 2018	1	3059	1	3037	5.0%	0.99 [0.06 , 15.87	
Hannah 1992	0	1701	2	1706	12.5%	0.20 [0.01 , 4.18	
Heimstad 2007	0	254	0	254		Not estimable	
Henry 1969	0	55	1	57	7.4%	0.35 [0.01 , 8.30]	
Herabutya 1992	0	57	0	51		Not estimable	•
James 2001	0	37	0	37		Not estimable	
Keulen 2019	1	900	2	901	10.0%	0.50 [0.05 , 5.51	
Martin 1978	0	92	1	92	7.5%	0.33 [0.01 , 8.08	
Martin 1989	0	12	0	10		Not estimable	
NICHHD 1994	0	174	0	175		Not estimable	
Sahraoui 2005	0	75	1	75	7.5%	0.33 [0.01 , 8.05	
Sande 1983	0	76	0	90		Not estimable	
Suikkari 1983	0	66	0	53		Not estimable	•
Walker 2016	0	304	0	314		Not estimable	
Wennerholm 2019	0	1381	5	1379	27.5%	0.09 [0.01 , 1.64	
Total (95% CI)		9418		9377	100.0%	0.30 [0.12 , 0.75]	•
Total events:	2		16				-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.64, df = 9	9 (P = 1.0	0); I ² = 0%				0.001 0.1 1 10 1000
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.59 (F	P = 0.010	•				Favours induction Favours expecta

for subgroup differences: Not applicab

Ending the pregnancy prevents stillbirth

Up to 50% of perinatal mortality occurs after 36 weeks



Shortening pregnancy



Longer journey= more opportunity for an accident

Ending the pregnancy: considerations

Perinatal morbidity: low absolute risks, even where relative risks high

Experience of birth: Intervention rates incl caesarean section

Labour and resource and cost: this impacts other people

Infant morbidity/ mortality

And the role pf caesarean section?

Caesarean Birth

Because it avoids labour, it avoids intrapartum stillbirth or fetal injury

Because it is (usually) before 40 weeks, it has a positive effect on antepartum stillbirth



BMJ 2007 ; 335 doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39363.706956.55 (Published 15 November 2007) Cite this as: *BMJ* 2007;335:1025

Caesarean birth rates: UK, Jordan





Chart 4 - Proportion of all live singleton births delivered by elective and emergency caesarean section in Scotland (1975/76 to 2019/20) [9] Sections Caesarean Caesarean - Emergency Caesarean - Elective % Year as at end of March

Harm from caesarean birth (Let's just forget the mother for a moment)

PLOS MEDICINE

THE LANCET

G OPEN ACCESS 🖻 PEER-REVIEWED RESEARCH ARTICLE

Long-term risks and benefits associated with cesarean delivery for mother, baby, and subsequent pregnancies: Systematic review and meta-analysis

n Oonagh E. Keag, Jane E. Norman, Sarah J. Stock 🖾

Published: January 23, 2018 • https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002494

Short-term and long-term effects of caesarean section on

the health of women and children

SERIES | OPTIMISING CAESAREAN SECTION USE | VOLUME 392, ISSUE 10155, P1349-1357, OCTOBER 13, 2018

Prof Jane Sandall, PhD R Prof Rachel M Tribe, PhD Lisa Avery, MD Prof Glen Mola, FRCOG Prof Gerard HA Visser, PhD Prof Caroline SE Homer, PhD et al. Show all authors

Published: October 13, 2018 • DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31930-5 • 🖪 Check for updates

appendix. The short-term risks include altered immune development, allergy, atopy, asthma, and reduced intestinal gut microbiome diversity. ⁵⁶ The persistence of these early childhood effects into later life is less well investigated. Data from individual studies have highlighted an association between birth by CS and features of metabolic syndrome, including adiposity, increased blood pressure, type 1 diabetes, asthma, increased body mass, changes to liver function, immune-related conditions, neurological and stress-related problems, and autoimmune gastrointestinal disease in childhood. However, a 2018 meta-analysis³³ only identified increased risks of obesity up to age 5 years and asthma up to age 12 years in children born by CS. These divergent findings might be because myriad childhood exposures obfuscate associations; larger-scale longitudinal studies are needed to establish causality.

Whether induction or CS, human gestation is short

The horse Gestation 330-345 days The human Gestation 280 days



Given how immature we already are, could there be adverse consequences?

Term admission to NNU



D Birth Weight ≥2500g





Atain – insights from the national program

But it goes a lot further than that...

Delivery at 37 weeks is associated with a higher infant mortality



And further than that...cerebral palsy



1.6

1.7 1.8

Relative risk of cerebral palsy (adjusted) **JAMA 2010**

And further than that...IQ

OXFORD JOURNALS

American Journal of Epidemiology

<u>Am J Epidemiol</u>. 2010 Feb 15; 171(4): 399–406. Published online 2010 Jan 15. doi: <u>10.1093/aje/kwp413</u> PMCID: PMC3435092 PMID: 20080810

Variation in Child Cognitive Ability by Week of Gestation Among Healthy Term Births

Seungmi Yang,* Robert W. Platt, and Michael S. Kramer

PLOS MEDICINE

OPEN ACCESS
 PEER-REVIEWED

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Gestational Age at Delivery and Special Educational Need: Retrospective Cohort Study of 407,503 Schoolchildren

Daniel F. MacKay, Gordon C. S. Smith, Richard Dobbie, Jill P. Pell 🖾

Published: June 8, 2010 • https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000289







We need to reduce stillbirth

But we risk: Causing death later Causing disability and lower IQ later i.e. routine induction at 37 weeks could mean lowering mean IQ of population

Overwhelming our labour wards and NNUs

So what do we do?

We have established that 39 weeks is the best time to deliver a baby



So which babies need to come earlier?

Common indications for induction/ CS

Reduced fetal movements Cholestasis Gestational diabetes Big baby Small baby Post dates Prolonged SROM Maternal request Conditions which are most associated with stillbirth

Fetal growth restriction Post dates Pre eclampsia Maternal age Maternal illness

Reducing mortality with minimum morbidity

There are multiple independent risk factors for stillbirth Given a linear relationship between degrees of risk (eg age), algorithms that rely on categorical 'cut offs' will work poorly

The answer is a risk prediction model, integrating *continuous* data on *independent* risks to produce an *individual* risk ..and then decide...



Thank you